CustomerAnalytics_CustomerBehavior

May 29, 2019

The importance of customer analytics is rising: because access to customer data became easier for many businesses, and also customers now have easier access to data and information on similar products and contents provided by other competitors, it is critical to many businesses to be able to understand and predict what their customers are likely to purchase or view. **The deeper the understanding your company has about its customers, the better competitive power it will have against its competitors**.

In [1]: %matplotlib inline

1 1. Load Data

This data set is one of the publicly available datasets from IBM at the following link: https://https:www.ibm.com/communities/analytics/watson-analytics-blog/marketing-customer-value-analysis/

```
In [3]: df = pd.read_csv('Data/WA_Fn-UseC_-Marketing-Customer-Value-Analysis.csv')
```

```
In [4]: df.shape
```

Out[4]: (9134, 24)

```
In [5]: df.head()
```

Out[5]:		Customer	State	Customer Life	time	Value	Respo	nse	Coverage	Education	\
	0	BU79786	Washington	2	763.	519279		No	Basic	Bachelor	
	1	QZ44356	Arizona	6	979.	535903		No	Extended	Bachelor	
	2	AI49188	Nevada	12	887.	431650		No	Premium	Bachelor	
	3	WW63253	California	7	645.	861827		No	Basic	Bachelor	
	4	HB64268	Washington	2	813.	692575		No	Basic	Bachelor	
		Effective	To Date Emp	loymentStatus	Gend	er In	come		\backslash		
	0		2/24/11	Employed		F 5	6274				
	1		1/31/11	Unemployed		F	0				
	2		2/19/11	Employed		F 4	8767				
	3		1/20/11	Unemployed		М	0				

43836 ... 4 2/3/11 Employed М Months Since Policy Inception Number of Open Complaints Number of Policies \ 0 0 5 1 1 42 0 8 2 0 2 38 3 65 0 7 4 44 0 1 Policy Type Policy Renew Offer Type Sales Channel \ Corporate Auto Offer1 0 Corporate L3 Agent 1 Personal Auto Personal L3 Offer3 Agent 2 Personal Auto Personal L3 Offer1 Agent 3 Corporate Auto Corporate L2 Offer1 Call Center 4 Personal Auto Personal L1 Offer1 Agent Total Claim Amount Vehicle Class Vehicle Size 0 384.811147 Two-Door Car Medsize 1 1131.464935 Four-Door Car Medsize 2 566.472247 Two-Door Car Medsize 529.881344 3 SUV Medsize 138.130879 Four-Door Car 4 Medsize [5 rows x 24 columns] In [6]: df.columns Out[6]: Index(['Customer', 'State', 'Customer Lifetime Value', 'Response', 'Coverage', 'Education', 'Effective To Date', 'EmploymentStatus', 'Gender', 'Income', 'Location Code', 'Marital Status', 'Monthly Premium Auto',

```
'Months Since Last Claim', 'Months Since Policy Inception',
'Number of Open Complaints', 'Number of Policies', 'Policy Type',
'Policy', 'Renew Offer Type', 'Sales Channel', 'Total Claim Amount',
'Vehicle Class', 'Vehicle Size'],
dtype='object')
```

2 2. Analytics on Engaged Customers

We are going to analyze it to understand how different customers behave and react to different marketing strategies.

2.1 - Overall Engagement Rate

The Response field contains information about whether a customer responded to the marketing efforts.

In [7]: # Get the total number of customers who have responded

df.groupby('Response').count()['Customer']

```
Out[7]: Response
        No
               7826
               1308
        Yes
        Name: Customer, dtype: int64
In [8]: # Visualize this in a bar plot
        ax = df.groupby('Response').count()['Customer'].plot(
            kind='bar',
            color='orchid',
            grid=True,
            figsize=(10, 7),
            title='Marketing Engagement'
        )
        ax.set_xlabel('Engaged')
        ax.set_ylabel('Count')
```

```
plt.show()
```





df.groupby('Response').count()['Customer']/df.shape[0]

```
Out[9]: Response
No 0.856799
Yes 0.143201
Name: Customer, dtype: float64
```

From this output and from the plot, we can see that only about 14% of the customers responded to the marketing calls.

2.2 - Engagement Rates by Offer Type

The Renew Offer Type column in this DataFrame contains the type of the renewal offer presented to the customers. We are going to look into what types of offers worked best for the engaged customers.

```
In [10]: # Get the engagement rates per renewal offer type
         by_offer_type_df = df.loc[
             df['Response'] == 'Yes', # count only engaged customers
         ].groupby([
             'Renew Offer Type'# engaged customers grouped by renewal offer type
         ]).count()['Customer'] / df.groupby('Renew Offer Type').count()['Customer']
         by_offer_type_df
Out[10]: Renew Offer Type
         Offer1
                   0.158316
         Offer2
                  0.233766
         Offer3
                   0.020950
         Offer4
                        NaN
         Name: Customer, dtype: float64
In [11]: # Visualize it in a bar plot
         ax = (by_offer_type_df*100.0).plot(
            kind='bar',
             figsize=(7, 7),
             color='dodgerblue',
             grid=True
         )
         ax.set_ylabel('Engagement Rate (%)')
         plt.show()
```



As we can see, Offer2 had the highest engagement rate among the customers

2.3 - Offer Type & Vehicle Class

We are going to understand how customers with different attributes respond differently to different marketing messages. We start looking at the engagements rates by each offer type and vehicle class.

by_offer_type_df

Out[12]:	Renew	Offer Type	e Vehi	cle Class	
	Offer	1	Four	-Door Car	0.070362
			Luxu:	ry Car	0.001599
			Luxu	ry SUV	0.004797
			SUV		0.044776
			Spor [.]	ts Car	0.011194
			Two-1	Door Car	0.025586
	Offer2	2	Four	-Door Car	0.114833
			Luxu	ry Car	0.002051
			Luxu:	ry SUV	0.004101
			SUV		0.041012
			Spor [.]	ts Car	0.016405
			Two-1	Door Car	0.055366
	Offera	3	Four	-Door Car	0.016760
			Two-1	Door Car	0.004190
	Name:	Customer,	dtype:	float64	

by_offer_type_df = by_offer_type_df.unstack().fillna(0)
by_offer_type_df

Out[13]: Vehicle Class Four-Door Car Luxury Car Luxury SUV SUV Sports Car \ Renew Offer Type Offer1 0.070362 0.001599 0.004797 0.044776 0.011194 Offer2 0.114833 0.002051 0.004101 0.041012 0.016405 Offer3 0.016760 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.00000

Vehicle Class	Two-Door Car
Renew Offer Type	
Offer1	0.025586
Offer2	0.055366
Offer3	0.004190

In [14]: # Visualize this data in bar plot

ax = (by_offer_type_df*100.0).plot(
 kind='bar',
 figsize=(10, 7),
 grid=True
)
ax.set_ylabel('Engagement Rate (%)')
plt.show()



We already knew from the previous section "Engagement Rates by Offer Type" that Offer2 had the highest response rate among customers. Now we can add more insights by having broken down the customer attributes with the category "Vehicle class": we can notice that customers with Four-Door Car respond more frequently for all offer types and that those with "Luxury SUV" respond with a higher chance to Offer1 than to Offer2. If we have significantly difference in the response rates among different customer rates, we can fine-tune who to target for different set of offers.

2.4 - Engagement Rates by Sales Channel

We are going to analyze how engagement rates differ by different sales channels.

```
Branch 0.114531
Call Center 0.108782
Web 0.117736
Name: Customer, dtype: float64
In [16]: ax = (by_sales_channel_df*100.0).plot(
    kind='bar',
    figsize=(7, 7),
    color='palegreen',
    grid=True
)
```

```
ax.set_ylabel('Engagement Rate (%)')
```



plt.show()



As we can notice, Agent works better in term of getting responses from the customers, and then sales through Web works the second best. Let's go ahead in breaking down this result deeper with different customers' attributes.

2.5 - Sales Channel & Vehicle Size

We are going to see whether customers with various vehicle sizes respond differently to different sales channels.

```
In [17]: by_sales_channel_df = df.loc[
             df['Response'] == 'Yes'
         ].groupby([
             'Sales Channel', 'Vehicle Size'
         ]).count()['Customer'] / df.groupby('Sales Channel').count()['Customer']
         by_sales_channel_df
Out[17]: Sales Channel Vehicle Size
         Agent
                        Large
                                        0.020708
                        Medsize
                                        0.144953
                        Small
                                        0.025884
         Branch
                        Large
                                        0.021036
                        Medsize
                                        0.074795
                        Small
                                        0.018699
         Call Center
                        Large
                                        0.013598
                        Medsize
                                        0.067989
                        Small
                                        0.027195
                                        0.013585
         Web
                        Large
                        Medsize
                                        0.095094
                        Small
                                        0.009057
         Name: Customer, dtype: float64
In [18]: # Unstack the data into a more visible format
         by_sales_channel_df = by_sales_channel_df.unstack().fillna(0)
         by_sales_channel_df
Out[18]: Vehicle Size
                                               Small
                           Large
                                   Medsize
         Sales Channel
         Agent
                        0.020708 0.144953 0.025884
         Branch
                        0.021036 0.074795 0.018699
         Call Center
                        0.013598 0.067989 0.027195
         Web
                        0.013585 0.095094 0.009057
In [19]: ax = (by_sales_channel_df*100.0).plot(
             kind='bar',
             figsize=(10, 7),
```

```
grid=True
```

```
)
```

```
ax.set_ylabel('Engagement Rate (%)')
```





As we can see, customers with medium size vehicles respond the best to all sales channels whereas the other customers differs slightly in terms of engagement rates across different sales channels.

2.6 - Engagement Rates by Months Since Policy Inception

by_months_since_inception_df.fillna(0)

Out[20]: Months Since Policy Inception

0	14.457831
1	14.117647
2	20.224719
3	26.315789
4	19.780220
5	6.896552
6	0.000000
7	7.594937
8	7.407407
9	18.750000
10	15.789474
11	17.307692
12	6.000000
13	14.814815
14	0.000000
15	22.018349
16	0.000000
17	11.881188
18	13.333333
19	16.981132
20	11.650485
21	11.428571
22	12.903226
23	20.454545
24	21.951220
25	13.483146
26	15.000000
27	12.371134
28	17.475728
29	12.244898
70	23.529412
71	12.000000
72	23.762376
73	6.818182
74	19.780220
75	6.122449
76	6.976744
77	18.947368
78	7.317073
79	11.881188
80	16.438356
81	15.789474
82	0.000000

```
83
               24.000000
         84
                6.000000
         85
               14.117647
         86
                0.00000
         87
                7.894737
         88
                7.894737
         89
               18.556701
         90
               14.285714
         91
               8.000000
         92
               16.216216
         93
               26.666667
         94
               25.000000
         95
               15.584416
         96
               17.910448
         97
                0.000000
         98
                0.000000
         99
                7.692308
         Name: Response, Length: 100, dtype: float64
In [21]: ax = by_months_since_inception_df.fillna(0).plot(
             figsize=(10, 7),
             title='Engagement Rates by Months Since Inception',
             grid=True,
             color='skyblue'
         )
         ax.set_xlabel('Months Since Policy Inception')
         ax.set_ylabel('Engagement Rate (%)')
         plt.show()
```



3 3. Customer Segmentation by CLV & Months Since Policy Inception

We are going to segment our customer base by *Customer Lifetime Value* and *Months Since Policy Inception*.

In [22]: # Take a look at the distribution of the CLV

df['Customer Lifetime Value'].describe()

Out[22]: count 9134.000000 8004.940475 mean 6870.967608 std 1898.007675 min 25% 3994.251794 50% 5780.182197 75% 8962.167041 max 83325.381190 Name: Customer Lifetime Value, dtype: float64

For the previous output, we are going to define those customers with a CLV higher than the median as **high-CLV customers**, and those with a CLV lower than the median as **low-CLV customers**.

In [24]: # Do the same procedure for Months Since Policy Inception

df['Months Since Policy Inception'].describe()

Out[24]: count 9134.000000 mean 48.064594 std 27.905991 min 0.000000 25% 24.000000 50% 48.000000 75% 71.000000 99.000000 max Name: Months Since Policy Inception, dtype: float64 In [25]: df['Policy Age Segment'] = df['Months Since Policy Inception'].apply(lambda x: 'High' if x > df['Months Since Policy Inception'].median() else 'Low') In [26]: df.head() Out[26]: Customer Customer Lifetime Value Response Coverage Education \setminus State 0 BU79786 2763.519279 Basic Bachelor Washington No 1 QZ44356 Arizona 6979.535903 Extended Bachelor No 12887.431650 2 AI49188 Nevada No Premium Bachelor 3 WW63253 California 7645.861827 No Basic Bachelor 4 HB64268 Washington 2813.692575 No Basic Bachelor Effective To Date EmploymentStatus Gender ... Number of Policies Income \ 0 2/24/11 Employed 56274 F . . . 1 1 1/31/11 Unemployed F 0 8 . . . 2 2/19/11 Employed F 2 48767 . . . Unemployed 7 3 1/20/11 М 0 . . . 4 2/3/11 Employed М 43836 . . . 1 Renew Offer Type Policy Type Policy Sales Channel 0 Corporate Auto Corporate L3 Offer1 Agent Personal L3 1 Personal Auto Offer3 Agent 2 Personal Auto Personal L3 Offer1 Agent 3 Corporate Auto Call Center Corporate L2 Offer1 4 Personal Auto Personal L1 Offer1 Agent Total Claim Amount Vehicle Class Vehicle Size CLV Segment \backslash 0 384.811147 Two-Door Car Medsize Low 1 1131.464935 Four-Door Car Medsize High

Medsize

High

Two-Door Car

2

566.472247

```
3
                    529.881344
                                           SUV
                                                    Medsize
                                                                    High
         4
                    138.130879 Four-Door Car
                                                    Medsize
                                                                     Low
           Policy Age Segment
         0
                          Low
         1
                          Low
         2
                          Low
         3
                         High
         4
                          Low
         [5 rows x 26 columns]
In [27]: # Visualize these segments
         ax = df.loc[
             (df['CLV Segment'] == 'High') & (df['Policy Age Segment'] == 'High')
         ].plot.scatter(
             x='Months Since Policy Inception',
             y='Customer Lifetime Value',
             logy=True,
             color='red'
         )
         df.loc[
             (df['CLV Segment'] == 'Low') & (df['Policy Age Segment'] == 'High')
         ].plot.scatter(
             ax=ax,
             x='Months Since Policy Inception',
             y='Customer Lifetime Value',
             logy=True,
             color='blue'
         )
         df.loc[
             (df['CLV Segment'] == 'High') & (df['Policy Age Segment'] == 'Low')
         ].plot.scatter(
             ax=ax,
             x='Months Since Policy Inception',
             y='Customer Lifetime Value',
             logy=True,
             color='orange'
         )
         df.loc[
             (df['CLV Segment'] == 'Low') & (df['Policy Age Segment'] == 'Low')
         ].plot.scatter(
             ax=ax,
             x='Months Since Policy Inception',
```

```
y='Customer Lifetime Value',
logy=True,
color='green',
grid=True,
figsize=(10, 7)
)
ax.set_ylabel('CLV (in log scale)')
ax.set_xlabel('Months Since Policy Inception')
ax.set_title('Segments by CLV and Policy Age')
```

```
plt.show()
```



logy=True transform the scale to log scale and it is often used for monetary values as they often have high skewness in their values. We have repeated the code for the plot.scatter 4 times because we have created 4 segments.

In [28]: # See whether there is any noticeable difference in the engagement rates among these

```
engagement_rates_by_segment_df = df.loc[
    df['Response'] == 'Yes'
].groupby([
```

```
'CLV Segment', 'Policy Age Segment'
         ]). count()['Customer'] / df.groupby([
             'CLV Segment', 'Policy Age Segment'
         ]).count()['Customer']
         engagement_rates_by_segment_df
Out[28]: CLV Segment Policy Age Segment
         High
                      High
                                            0.138728
                      Low
                                            0.132067
         Low
                      High
                                            0.162450
                                            0.139957
                      Low
         Name: Customer, dtype: float64
In [29]: # Look at these differences in a chart
         ax = (engagement_rates_by_segment_df.unstack()*100.0).plot(
             kind='bar',
             figsize=(10, 7),
             grid=True
         )
         ax.set_ylabel('Engagement Rate (%)')
         ax.set_title('Engagement Rates by Customer Segments')
         plt.show()
```



As we can notice, **High Policy Age Segment has higher engagement than the Low Policy Age Segment**. *This suggests that those customers who have been insured by this company longer respond better*. Moreover, the High Policy Age and Low CLV segment has the highest engagement rate among the four segments.

By creating different customer segments based on customer attributes, we can better understand how different groups of customers behave differently, and consequently, use this information to customize the marketing messagges.